

New Chum Community Reference Group

Meeting Agenda

Title: New Chum Community Reference Group

Date: Monday April 24, 2017

Time: 6.00 – 8.00 pm

Facilitator: Nathan Williams

Venue: Ipswich City Council Administration Building, 50 South Street, Ipswich

| | | |
|--|---|---|
| Nathan Williams – Chair, New Chum CRG (NW) | Neil Perry – General Manager Queensland, Cleanaway (NP) | Jim Dodrill – President, IRATE(JD) |
| Michael Simmons – Acting Team Co-ordinator for the Eastern District, Ipswich City Council (MS) | Doug Hughes – Regional Manager, Cleanaway (DH) | Geoff Yarham - Secretary of IRATE (GY) |
| Cr Kerry Silver (Ksi) | Cr Kylie Stoneman (KS) | Scott Blanchard – Regional Manager, DEHP (SB) |
| | | |
| Apologies | | |
| Meg Saunderson - Principal, Riverview State School (MSa) | | Greg Broad – Community Member (GB) |
| Duchense Broad – Community Member (DB) | | |

| Item | Details | Decision/Action |
|-----------------------------------|---|-----------------|
| 1. Welcome & Apologies | Meg Saunderson , Greg Broad, Duchense Broad – apologies | |
| 2. Review of Minutes | Review of minutes from the last CRG meeting on Monday January 23, 2017. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Update on Action Items | January meeting |

| | | |
|--|---|--|
| | <p>1. Open Day</p> <p>Open day dates - DH proposed Sunday 10th September 2017. JD has another appointment on that day as does MS and JD suggested Sunday September 17.</p> <p>DH requested that CRG members indicate potential availability.</p> <p>2. Operational works number</p> <p>Operational works number from MS to GY, re-profiling approval - MS has provided to GY.</p> <p>3. Visitor numbers on the Cleanaway website</p> <p>DH – Referred to October minutes, a member request for visitor numbers on the website. This item has been requested from the internal web team at Cleanaway.</p> <p>4. Group confirmed minutes of January meeting</p> | <p>minutes accepted, NW to forward accepted minutes to DH for posting to Cleanaway's website</p> <p>CRG members to indicate availability for open day in early September</p> |
| <p>3. FY16 community benefit fund</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Update proceedings regarding the FY16 Community Benefit Fund <p>DH: in regards to FY16 allocations– no information has been provided from Ipswich Historical Society, Cleanaway is still awaiting details for the fund allocation. This amount will be re-distributed back into the fund if that matter cannot be resolved.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> FY17 allocations – DH takes group through allocations <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Collingwood Park state Riverview community centre Ipswich Genealogical society Ipswich Knights West Fallen Community Gardens Riverview state school – chaplain JD requested this information be posted on the Cleanaway website. DH agreed. DH went on to discuss details of the FY16 allocation and indicated to JD that he was happy to post online which groups received funding but would not publicly discuss the amounts. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> DH to post allocation for FY17 fund on Cleanaway website. |

| | | |
|------------------------------------|---|---|
| <p>4. Operations update</p> | <p>DH:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Safety update - no injury incidents since the last CRG meeting in January. • NP: There have been 4 incidents of willful damage to the new fences constructed around Void 10. <p>DH continues:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There have been two fires offsite in adjoining properties since January. The fire brigade was contacted and issues addressed on both occasions. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ 1 small tip fire on the tip face. ○ One issue of compactor smoke from engine bay due to a cracked head gasket. • There was one medical incident from heat stress for a contractor over the summer period. The contractor was treated and instructed to rest and review. The contractor has since returned to normal duties. • One incident to a customer's truck. The vehicle burst an inside tire on the weighbridge. There was no damage to infrastructure or people. • Development application submission update <p>DH: No new DA submissions, however a number of small operational matters were submitted for approval regarding working 'out of hours' for driveway and weighbridge construction.</p> <p>DH</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Employment update <p>DH No new personnel in the previous quarter.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Media campaign on Void 10 <p>DH: Discussed media campaign to address the risks associated with swimming at Void 10, commonly referred to as Aqua Lakes. DH shows</p> | <p>NW to post safety first on agenda order in future.</p> |
|------------------------------------|---|---|

| | | |
|--|---|--|
| | <p>artwork and discussed potential media approach including a mail drop in combination with a social media campaign addressing risk at Void 10, title - "Don't swim at Aqua Lakes in Collingwood Park." DH points out that this is a very early draft and is still seeking Council input but would like the group to consider any suggestions:</p> <p><i>Feedback on visual/potential campaign branding:</i></p> <p>JD: Put in why it is dangerous – what is it that makes it dangerous?</p> <p>DH: From our external advice, we were informed that you have attention for approximately three seconds, so we have tried to stick to the bigger picture.</p> <p>JD: it's good but let's focus on the risk.</p> <p>KS: How big will the image be? Can you put it in a map?</p> <p>DH: It's a great idea, it does state where it is but we may consider that in design.</p> <p>Msi: The comment, Aqua Lakes reserve, it makes it sound positive. Perhaps consider just referring to it as Void 10.</p> <p>KSt: Are you using the right medium?</p> <p>DH: We'd like to utilise schools and councils to get to the right people, teenagers, youth. We will talk to schools and youth groups to get that input as well as Council. Thank you.</p> | |
|--|---|--|

| | | |
|--|--|--|
| | <p>JD: Cleanaway should run a cinema ad at the Plaza, that is a great conduit to local young people.</p> <p>DH: Thanks group for input. Cleanaway will share with the group for formal feedback after ICC has provided input. Thank you everybody for your feedback.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Void 10 damage to fencing <p>DH showed four examples of trespassers and fence vandalism from motorbikes and four wheel drives in just in the past week.</p> <p>Ksi: I note that both Council and Cleanaway are talking with adjoining landowners to manage potential trespassing issues.</p> <p>DH: Cleanaway will add additional rock barriers but any help from community members is greatly appreciated.</p> <p>Ksi: Have Cleanaway reported this to police?</p> <p>DH: We haven't as yet.</p> <p>Ksi: I may discuss and follow up with police. We should clearly state that trespassers can be punished by law. Trespass is breaking the law. We have discussed issues with owners but it seems to be largely a trespassing issue.</p> <p>Additional items:</p> <p>DH: I would like to advise the group that Cell 4a – is now operational, having obtained RPQF signoff.</p> <p>I would also like to call attention to an issue that occurred at Cell 4a at the New Chum facility in the last quarter.</p> <p>DH explains: Cell4a is in two parts, Cell 4a1 and cell4a 2. Cell 4a2 was completed and RPQ had completed their survey and quality assurance process but Cleanaway had not issued a letter to</p> | |
|--|--|--|

| | | |
|-----------------------------|---|---|
| | <p>confirm that to DEHP. In cell 4a (2) – Cleanaway unfortunately placed waste in an area without the formal documentation being completed. This was due to an oversight in paperwork, as Cleanaway believed it had been undertaken, but had forgotten to do so.</p> <p>As soon as the mistake was noticed Cleanaway advised DEHP and Council and the CQA letter came through a day late, which was forwarded on. There was no environmental harm due to the incident.</p> <p>JD: Has the cell had an MCU approval?</p> <p>DH: Yes, this is covered in the current agreement from August last year.</p> <p>MS: <i>Then goes on to explain the role of the MCU and operational works approval process.</i></p> <p>JD: Has DEHP given approval to the type of waste in these cells?</p> <p>SB: Yes, the environmental authority was amended in June last year (2016) to permit disposal to Cell 4. JD asked whether the waste approved to go to Cell4 was any different. Advice provided was that there had been no amendment to the acceptable waste criteria.</p> | |
| 5. Equipment Update | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • New equipment arrival <p>DH: Additional equipment has arrived for the new Cell 4 construction and Driveway upgrade. This includes an asphaltting machine that was temporarily on site last week.</p> | • |
| 6. Complaints Update | <p>Any complaints made in relation to the site after our last meeting on Monday January 23, 2017 to today</p> <p>DH: Cleanaway has received a fine from DEHP for the use of Cell 5a1 and paid, approx. \$12, 000</p> <p>The fine was issued for placing waste in cell 5a1 without prior notification to DEHP. The</p> | |

| | | |
|--|---|--|
| | <p>issue was rectified immediately internally and fine paid.</p> <p>Inspection – ICC regular inspection regime, there were four visits between January and now (April)</p> <p>One dust complaint on March 6 via DEHP –</p> <p>The fine was issued for placing waste outside the defined Cell areas, specifically between Cells 1 and 5. The fine has been paid.</p> <p>Inspection – ICC regular inspection regime, there were four visits between January and now (April)</p> <p>One dust complaint on March 6 via DEHP –</p> <p>This complaint was in relation to dust and mud from Lantrack trucks (transport division) leaving New Chum facility and delivering asbestos contaminated soils. Cleanaway provided an update to DEHP and notified them of the measures undertaken to prevent such an activity from re-occurring including the installation of a new driveway, upgrades and rumble strips and provided information to advise that Lantrack were not delivering any asbestos contaminated soils into the facility.</p> <p>GY: How much was the fine?</p> <p>DH: \$12, 000.</p> <p>JD: Is council and/or DEHP aware of cell 5a1?</p> <p>DH: Yes, Council is aware of it. Cell 5a1 is an internal number just to indicate the different lining systems,</p> <p>JD: Is the fine the end of it, has it changed the land profile?</p> <p>DH: Yes, the fine is the end of it. No, it hasn't changed the land profile.</p> | |
|--|---|--|

| | | |
|--|--|---|
| 7. Operations and Management information requests | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Current update on reprofiling compliance. <p>DH: Final works are completed. DH takes group through visual representation of re-profiling compliance.</p> <p>DH notes that this information has been submitted through to ICC (council) for consideration and has been discussed.</p> <p>GY: To the south of cell 1 where is rubbish going?</p> <p>DH: Explains waste placement going in now, but will be filled in the very near future (i.e. within the next week).</p> <p>GY: Cell 5a1, I thought that when re-profiling was approved there was to be no more landfill in that space.</p> <p>DH: There is a gully in that space. It goes between Cell 5 and Cell 1. DH shows GY on the map where that gully lies.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Update by Cleanaway or DEHP on the status of the PIN notice issued to Cleanaway by DEHP in March 2017. <p>DH No further update needed.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Review of ICC email on overflow from the pond along Chum St during recent heavy rain. <p>DH: I would like to note that we have not been made aware of any email to Council, however I can talk about the recent impact of a severe weather event in relation to Cyclone Debbie. During a severe weather event in relation to Cyclone Debbie, the site received almost 200mm of rain over 1.5 days. A discharge had occurred</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • GY to send email outlining issue and share document link with Cleanaway, Council and DEHP. • DH to review email and respond. |
|--|--|---|

| | | |
|--|---|--|
| | <p>from our northern pond. Cleanaway advised DEHP, and took analysis of the pond before and after the event and showed that the water was within the requirements of the license agreement.</p> <p>DH: Cleanaway noted that the overflow worked well, which fanned water over Chum St and prevented erosion of the street.</p> <p>GY: When were the samples taken that Cleanaway has spoken about?</p> <p>DH: The samples are taken the day prior to event and the results obtained a couple of days after.</p> <p>GY: The standard operating procedure SOP for that pond requires that that testing results be completed prior to any release from that pond.</p> <p>DH: Which document is that from?</p> <p>GY: TIGTWN SOP 0102</p> <p>DH: This is not the sediment pond that the SOP refers to.</p> <p>GY: My understanding is that tests needed to be done before any water is released from the pond.</p> <p>DH: Having not seen the document I would need to review to ensure that I respond accurately. Can I take the question on notice – can you please provide the reference number, again?</p> <p>GY: TIGTWN SOP 0102</p> <p>MSu: Asks where document came from and timing?</p> <p>DH: Asks Geoff to send email as sent to Council.</p> | |
|--|---|--|

| | | |
|--|---|--|
| | <p>SB: Can I see a copy as well?</p> <p>GY: Agrees to distribute.</p> <p>NP: Just to confirm as Doug said, what occurred during the storm event, the pond worked well.</p> <p>JD: Did anyone take samples during the event? It was thick and muddy water.</p> <p>SB: The whole system was highly sedimentary due to the large scale of the event.</p> <p>DH: Analysis from an independent lab said there was no sediment from our site.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Follow up on request by IRATE of Cleanaway on how dust is managed on site. <p>DH: Referred to April 5 email from GY; in regards to questions about dust control.</p> <p>DH: IRATE has identified concerns about dust protocol suppressions on site and highlighted - localized dust towards Chum St observed in late 2016 on-site inspection. IRATE is concerned at perceived lack of continuous dust suppression on site.</p> <p>DH: Cleanaway has a number of dust suppression tools and has invested heavily in this area. This includes the use of a 740b water - cart dump truck, that is capable of dispersing 30 000 litres of water. The water cart's priority is road suppression dust and tipping dust. If the roads and tipface are sufficiently damp, then the operator may be re-deployed. Please note that over watering of roads can also lead to other safety issues.</p> <p>GY: Is the water truck manned for 12 hrs. of operations?</p> | |
|--|---|--|

| | | |
|--|---|--|
| | <p>DH: The truck is manned as required, with an allocated operator each day. Cleanaway will re-deploy the operator if not required, for example on a rainy day.</p> <p>GY: IRATE's biggest concern is the dust that emanates from the tipping face. Is Cleanaway still doing dust monitoring?</p> <p>DH: Cleanaway does conduct dust monitoring.</p> <p>GY: <i>Passed around environmental report dust monitoring report starting – from 2014/15.</i></p> <p>GY: In this report it states that dust monitoring ceased in 2015. Does this contravene license requirements for the facility:</p> <p>DH: No it doesn't this is not part of our license requirements. But as stated Cleanaway does conduct dust monitoring.</p> <p>DH: Cleanaway performs dust monitoring testing as an additional task as part of our site operations.</p> <p>GY: The report states that they are over nominated values.</p> <p>DH: This is a good point as we should note where these exceeded values occur. The major exceedances are impacted by other dust issues in Chum St.</p> <p>GY: Points out other points in 2014/15 reports that are exceeding values.</p> <p>DH: I have three points in response:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The 2016 report is on its way and will be on the Cleanaway website in coming weeks. 2. Collingwood Park – is in Nd3 and ND4. When the report comes in residents in Collingwood Park should see how many dust issues are impacted by prevailing winds in ND3 and ND4. The prevailing winds from the facility in fact, track in the opposite direction. | |
|--|---|--|

| | | |
|--|---|--|
| | <p>3. Cleanaway is not the only extractive industry in the area. It is very challenging to isolate responsibility to a sole provider and not address the big picture.</p> <p>GY: We are generally concerned about the dust generation on the site, particularly at the tipping face. And what appears to be an inconsistent approach. We noticed dust on our inspection late last year.</p> <p>KSt: There was dust on the day but the water truck worked well.</p> <p>GY: Our view is that the water truck should work 12 hrs. a day. It should be conducted whenever the site is in operation when it is not wet. I believe it should be more black and white.</p> <p>Kst: All of the monitoring is high. I'd look at Flinders View results from the monitoring station there to see if they coincide.</p> <p>KSi: How many hours a day is there dust suppression on the site?</p> <p>DH: On average the site has 8-10 hours per day where the water truck is in operation.</p> <p>NP: On high wind days, we have multiple operators</p> <p>DH: Balance is critical.</p> <p>SB: What's the environmental harm or impact of dust leaving the site? DEHP looks at dust of a measure of 120mg/sqm. per day as the standard measure. I'm looking at some of the measures you showed and these are taken on the edge on the site. When you have 800m to a klm from the edge of the site to nearest residents, as indicated in the mapping, this would not reach the nearest residents and exceed that measure/guidelines levels.</p> <p>JD: The monitoring stations do not tell the entire story.</p> | |
|--|---|--|

| | | |
|--|--|--|
| | <p>SB: No they don't however people still need to be impacted beyond the guidelines.</p> <p>Having said that DEHP has made it clear that Cleanaway must keep on top of dust suppression.</p> <p>GY: IRATE believes that dust suppression must be ramped up on site as we see it as an issue.</p> <p>GY: I'd like to take an opportunity to observe an operational issue we noted yesterday.</p> <p>DH: I would like to take question on notice. There is a process in place to ensure that we can provide information.</p> <p>NP: This is why we call for an agenda.</p> <p>GY: <i>Provides image</i></p> <p>GY: This shows a white cell line near Chum St, shows black pipe. What is the black pipe that shows on this image?</p> <p><i>Discussion takes place about ability to respond to image in a timely manner.</i></p> <p>NP: The point here is if we can get this information in advance then we can respond to information in a timely manner so we can respond in detail.</p> <p>DH: The black pipe is a leachate riser. It is an air system designed to pump the leachate out and over time it will be buried by waste. It doesn't carry out all of the leachate, the pipe flows all around the landfill and goes to the leachate pond, clockwise around the site.</p> <p>JD: There is a lot of water.</p> <p>DH: Yes, due to Cyclone Debbie, DEHP and Council are aware of this issue. It is fully contained</p> <p><i>DH explains picture in detail.</i></p> <p>KSi – Where the liner isn't on a few parts?</p> | |
|--|--|--|

| | | |
|----------------------------|---|--|
| | <p>DH There is soil over the top of the liner to keep the liners integrity?</p> <p>KSt: Does IRATE drone the area, on a daily basis? You may have seen some footage of the vandalism?</p> <p>JD: Don't think we saw the damage to the fence.</p> <p>DH: Just a note that it is Cleanaway's space.</p> <p>JD: What is the federal legislation that indicates that. I don't believe that there is, as no personal privacy is invaded</p> <p>DH: All I am saying is I am not entirely comfortable in regards to IRATE flying a drone over our property. I would like to note that we will be discussing our legal position on this and suggest IRATE do the same.</p> <p>NP: Just on that point of cameras, where are we in terms of looking at surveillance cameras?</p> <p>DH: Council had a look at entry points, and has had discussions with Police and ICC to prevent vandalism. Some of these have gone ahead but they are not for sharing in public for reasons of security.</p> | |
| 8. Next Meeting (s) | <p>Planned dates for next meeting:</p> <p>Date of Next New Chum Community Reference Date to be determined – bimonthly</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • July - 3rd July is out for SB, 24th July - Kerry • Preferred date July 17 • Nov date tbd <p>Venue – Ipswich City Council Administration Building, 50 South Street, Ipswich Time: 6:00pm – 8.00 pm</p> | |

| | | |
|-------------------------------------|---|--|
| <p>9. Any other business</p> | <p>JD – question in regards your wheelwash</p> <p>DH: New wheelwash installed post new driveway, scheduled for next week, operational in early May</p> <p>JD: According to DEHP there was no wheelwash more than six months.</p> <p>DH: I'd like to take question on notice</p> <p>MS: No we (Council) have done inspections in that time where wheelwash has been operational</p> <p>Out of commission approx. late Jan early Feb. but DH to confirm</p> <p>MS: there are other measures in place.</p> <p>DH: Cleanaway has added additional rumble strips, additional sweepers on the street</p> <p>JD: Cell 4, Cleanaway has dumped material in Cell 4.</p> <p>DH: Cell 4 can take all materials including asbestos. It isn't at the moment.</p> <p>JD: Obligations to cover tip face?</p> <p>DH: Our license is to cover in 10 days, however if asbestos is in that proportion it would need to be covered every day. However asbestos is normally in a dedicated area.</p> <p>NP: It is in a separate area; Cleanaway maintains GPS co-ordinates so we know not to drill into asbestos</p> <p>Meeting closed at 7:35pm</p> | <p>DH to confirm timing as to length of time that wheel wash was non-operational</p> |
|-------------------------------------|---|--|