



SLCRG Meeting - Monday 12 July 2021

Venue: Stawell Media Centre - 12 Main Street, Stawell

ltem	Description	Action
	Attendees:	
	Richard Baum - Ashton Forsyth Consultants (Facilitator)	
	Roz Byass – Community	
	Gilda McKechnie – Community	
	Rhonda Clark, Regional Manager – Cleanaway	
	Matthew Smith, Operations Supervisor – Cleanaway	
	Olga Ghiri, Stakeholder & Community Engagement Manager – Cleanaway	
	Melissa Gunn, Strategic Waste Officer – NGSC	
	Stacey Bloomfield, Snr Engagement Advisor – EPA (via phone link)	
	Apology:	
	Lachlan McIntyre, Project Platypus – Community	
	 Lachlan provided feedback on topics to be carried over to the next meeting: 	
	 Increased airborne rubbish littering the surrounding private and public land – request for repair and extension of old fence Excess noise and dust impacting the surrounding environment (outside declared operation hours) Advocacy for local landholders and Landcare network Attempted to guide rehab projects (endemic species for rehab of cell 1 + 2) 	
	About these minutes:	
	These minutes and supporting information are in line with the agreed Terms of Reference. Any concerns/queries about papers supporting the MRL CRG should be raised with the Chair/Facilitator, Richard Baum by emailing richard@ashtonforsyth.com.au	
1	Introductions	
-	Facilitator acknowledged commitment of committee members to participate in the Community Reference Group.	
	Group was divided into pairs to interview each other and then to introduce that person to the group.	
	Facilitator reinforced the importance of trust between group members to enable the group to engage in discussions on difficult and sensitive matters relating to the landfill site.	
	Facilitator confirmed the purpose of this first meeting – being to clarify the role and purpose of the group and how the group will function.	
3	Terms of Reference	
	Facilitator led a review of the draft Terms of Reference, clarifying key points:	
	 Community members represent the wider interests of the community advocating on behalf of the broader community 	

ltem		Description	Action
	•	Informative and consultative forum	
	•	Advisory group, not a decision making body	
	•	Cleanaway to consider input from the group in their operational decision making	
	•	Community members to have a voice on relevant matters of concern	
	•	Cleanaway to keep members updated on operational matters so that members can update interested parties in the community	
	•	Clarity around current and future priorities	
	•	Discussions to be focused on matters that the group can influence :	
		 Gilda mentioned ground water, run-off and vermin control as additional issues 	Olga to broaden TOR to include issues
		Roz noted the need for a sustainable landfill site (Olga mentioned Cleanaway's Footprint 2025 initiative: <u>https://www.cleanaway.com.au/sustainable-future/footprint-2025-fy2019/</u>)	beyond odour, litter and dust Cleanaway to
		 Melissa also pointed group members to Council's Waster Management Strategy (<u>https://www.ngshire.vic.gov.au/Your-</u> <u>Council/Governance-and-transparency/Council-</u> <u>publications/Waste-Management-Strategy</u>) 	present an overview of how run-off is managed at the site at the next meeting
		Rhonda raised the issue of limited options for regional Councils when it comes to waste disposal and limited funding for circular economy initiatives	
		 Melissa clarified Council's recycling processes 	
		Facilitator clarified that reducing waste to landfill was beyond the scope of the group – issues pertaining to waste reduction, reuse, recycling and remanufacturing being the domain of State and Local Governments (not the responsibility of a landfill owner/operator)	
		 Stacey pointed group members to DELWP's Recycling Victoria Policy (<u>https://www.vic.gov.au/transforming-recycling-victoria</u>) 	
	•	Cleanaway commits to working with the group to ensure that community concerns are considered in decisions made and to communicate how (if at all) community concerns impacted decision making (transparency)	
	•	Cleanaway commits to environmental compliance and aims for no impact on the local community	
		 Gilda mentioned that local community members living in close proximity to the site were (cautiously) optimistic with Cleanaway taking over the site 	
	•	Cleanaway will keep the group updated on it operational and monitoring regime and will listen to, and acknowledge, any concern from community members	
	•	Facilitator clarified membership of the group (the group agreed to relook at the number of community members down the track)	
	•	Group members need to be able to work collaboratively with stakeholders (other group members) who might not share the same view	'Community
	•	Group members agreed to a two-year term for membership. Group members were encouraged to voice feedback from the boarder community at meetings	Feedback' to be added to agenda

ltem	Description	Action
	 Stacey suggested a standing agenda item for community members to raise issues from the broader community 	
	• Members are not authorized to speak on behalf the group and cannot represent the group in the media	
	 Meetings: Next meeting late Oct/early Nov (3 months, face-to-face) Review schedule of dates at next meeting 	Rhonda to confirm date of next meeting
	 Alternative meeting venue to be proposed There may be special meetings required from time-to-time 	Melissa to propose alternative Council
	Alternative meetings to be potentially held over Zoom	venue for meetings
	 Quorum: At least two community members – community members are asked that where possible, commitment to attend a meeting is given with 7 days' notice and that if there are fewer than two community members, the meeting automatically reverts to Zoom 	Olga to update TOR
4	Communications	
	 Cleanaway confirmed that contact between members is not limited to meetings 	
	Cleanaway to provide relevant updates between meetings	
	 Olga and Matthew are available to talk to members between meetings 	
	Gilda mentioned that newsletters had been well received	
5	Standard Agenda Items	
	Operational Update	
	Rhonda updated the group on the planned drilling and blasting to create Cell 5 at the landfill site	Rhonda to communicate details
	Rhonda confirmed communication of the plan would be distributed (Cleanaway Stawell Landfill site distribution list)	of the drilling and blasting program to
	Rhonda confirmed that the road would be closed (details would also be communicated)	the community through the resident's
	Rhonda mentioned the works are planned to be completed by the end of January	distribution list and to provide an update
	Rhonda suggested works are planned between Tuesdays and Thursdays	at the next meeting
	Gilda expressed significant concern having been through a traumatic experience when Cell 4 was created (the impacts of the drilling and blasting having a significant impact on mental health)	
	 Gilda raised the need to mitigate sound and dust impacts from the drilling and blasting operations 	
	Gilda mentioned that promises had been made to landholders (historically) that the landfill would not impact amenity within the area – that the historical intention had been to fill the existing quarry (not expand)	
	Rhonda mentioned that rock crushing would be part of the process for developing Cell 5 – and this would take place in the 'hole' to minimise impacts for the community	

ltem	Description	Action
	 Rhonda mentioned that Cleanaway would be recruiting for a replacement for Paul (a role that includes responsibility for environment, community engagement and Council liaison – amongst other things) 	